

SLWP03c - Additional Modifications to the Draft South London Waste Plan – May 2022

- ~~Strikethrough~~ text indicates a proposed deletion
- **Bold Underlined** indicates a proposed addition to the text

Ref.	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change														
1	-	Request for Representations	<p>The Publication and Request for Representations</p> <p>This is the Submission Version of the South London Waste Plan 2021-2036.</p> <p>The South London Waste Plan is a joint document produced by the London Borough of Croydon, the Royal Borough of Kingston, the London Borough of Merton and the London Borough of Sutton to guide the development of waste treatment facilities across the four boroughs. It includes policies to guide waste treatment development and safeguards existing sites.</p> <p>This document is termed the Submission Version because it is intended to be submitted to the Secretary of States for Housing, Communities and Local Government for Examination in Public.</p> <p>The publication of the Submission Version of the South London Waste Plan is undertaken to meet the requirements of Regulation 19 of The Town & Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.</p> <p>An accompanying Sustainability Appraisal is also available for consultation.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="580 882 1541 970"> <tr> <td>Representations to be made</td> </tr> <tr> <td>from Friday 4 September to Thursday 22 October 2020</td> </tr> </table> <p>The planned timetable for the South London Waste Plan is also follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="580 1050 1541 1311"> <tr> <td>February – June 2019</td> <td>Evidence Gathering</td> </tr> <tr> <td>October – December 2019</td> <td>Issues and Preferred Options Consultation</td> </tr> <tr> <td>September – October 2020</td> <td>Submission Version Representations</td> </tr> <tr> <td>November 2020</td> <td>Submission to the Secretary of State</td> </tr> <tr> <td>January 2021</td> <td>Examination in Public</td> </tr> <tr> <td>March onwards</td> <td>Adoption</td> </tr> </table>	Representations to be made	from Friday 4 September to Thursday 22 October 2020	February – June 2019	Evidence Gathering	October – December 2019	Issues and Preferred Options Consultation	September – October 2020	Submission Version Representations	November 2020	Submission to the Secretary of State	January 2021	Examination in Public	March onwards	Adoption	Factual update as information on previous consultation stages not required.
Representations to be made																		
from Friday 4 September to Thursday 22 October 2020																		
February – June 2019	Evidence Gathering																	
October – December 2019	Issues and Preferred Options Consultation																	
September – October 2020	Submission Version Representations																	
November 2020	Submission to the Secretary of State																	
January 2021	Examination in Public																	
March onwards	Adoption																	

Ref.	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
2	4	After paragraph 2.8	Add a new paragraph after existing paragraph 2.8: <u>Other national strategies that are relevant to waste planning include:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>The 25 Year Environment Plan (2018)</u> • <u>Our Waste, Our Resources: A Strategy for England (2018)</u> • <u>Independent review into serious and organised crime in the waste sector (2018)</u> 	Factual update to reflect available guidance.
3	5	Paragraph 2.10	Delete " 2019 London Plan Intend to Publish (ItP) " and replace with " <u>London Plan 2021</u> ". Repeat this modification for all "references to the '2019 London Plan ItP' in the draft Plan	Update to reflect publication of the London Plan in March 2021.
4	8	Image	Replace image on page 8 with a proper covered facility.	So the Plan shows best practice examples.
5	16	Para 3.25	To properly recognise this additional recycling activity, the South London Waste Plan Technical Report 2019 has used Environment Agency data for five years to 2017 to produce an average recycling rate practiced within the waste transfer facility. <u>This has been updated to include the latest available Environment Agency data for 2018 and 2019.</u> The average recycling rate has then been counted towards the apportionment target and not as waste transfer.	Factual update to ensure consistency within the SLWP.
6	43	Qualifying throughput	Add after final sentence: <u>Sites identified as having zero capacity do not currently contribute towards the apportionment or C&D arisings. However, these sites have potential to contribute to waste targets in future years if the amount of waste managed onsite increases e.g. through intensification.</u>	To improve the clarity of the SLWP.
7	27	Paragraph 5.28	Delete " Thames Water Limited " and replace with " <u>Thames Water Utilities Limited</u> "	Factual correction.
8	43	How to read the site information	Delete: Currently safeguarded: If a site was safeguarded in the 2011 South London waste Plan	When the draft South London Waste Plan is adopted it will no longer be necessary to refer to designations in the current SLWP as it will be superseded entirely.

Ref.	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
9	44 to 91	Currently Safeguarded	Delete all 'currently safeguarded' references in the SLWP across all site sheets.	When the draft South London Waste Plan is adopted all sites that appear in this section will be safeguarded. Therefore it will no longer be necessary to refer to designations in the current SLWP as it will be superseded entirely.
10	44 to 91	Issues to Consider	Replace the bulleted list under 'Issues to consider if there is a further application' with a lettered or numbered list. This applies to all sites.	To make the SLWP clearer and easier to navigate.
11	44	Site C1 Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the Archaeological Priority Area consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains as the site lies within an archaeological priority area the Mere Bank (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area"	Factual update.
12	45	Site C4 Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the Archaeological Priority Area consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains as the site lies within an archaeological priority area the London to Brighton Road (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area"	Factual update.
13	46	Site C5A Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the Archaeological Priority Area consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains in the Ampere Way (Tier 2) Archaeology Priority Area"	Factual correction and update.
14	47	Site C5B Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the Archaeological Priority Area consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains in the Ampere Way (Tier 2) Archaeology Priority Area"	Factual correction and update.
15	48	Site C6 Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the Archaeological Priority Area consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains in the Croydon Downs (Tier 3) Archaeology Priority Area"	Factual correction and update.

Ref.	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
16	50	Site C8 Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the Archaeological Priority Area consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains in the Mere Bank (Tier 2) Archaeology Priority Area "	Factual correction and update.
17	51	Site C9 Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the Archaeological Priority Area consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains as the site lies within an archaeological priority area the Croydon Downs (Tier 3) Archaeological Priority Area "	Factual correction and update.
18	52	Site C10 Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the Archaeological Priority Area consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains in the archaeology priority area London to Brighton Roman Road Archaeological Priority Area (Tier 2) "	Factual correction and update.
19	54	Site C12 Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the archaeological consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains (Tier 4) "	Factual correction and update.
20	55	Site C13 Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the Archaeological Priority Area consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains in the Ampere Way (Tier 2) Archaeology Priority Area "	Factual correction and update.
21	61	Site M1 Issues to Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area 	Factual update
22	62	Site M2 Issues to Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area 	Factual update

Ref.	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
23	63	Site M3 Issues to Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains <u>as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area</u> 	Factual update
24	66	Site M6 Issues to Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains <u>as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area</u> 	Factual update
25	68	Site M8 Issues to Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains <u>as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area</u> 	Factual update
26	70	Site M10 Issues to Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains <u>as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Earlsfield (Tier 3) Archaeological Priority Area</u> 	Factual update
27	72	Site M12 Issues to Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains <u>as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Earlsfield (Tier 3) Archaeological Priority Area</u> 	Factual update
28	73	Site M13 Issues to Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains <u>as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area</u> 	Factual update
29	74	Site M14 Issues to Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains <u>as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Earlsfield (Tier 3) Archaeological Priority Area</u> 	Factual update

Ref.	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
30	75	Site M15 Issues to Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains <u>as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area</u> 	Factual update
31	76	Site M16 Issues to Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains <u>as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area</u> 	Factual update
32	77	Site M17 Issues to Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains <u>as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area</u> 	Factual update
33	78	Site M18 Issues to Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains <u>as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area</u> 	Factual update
34	81	Site S2 Licensed Capacity	Under "licensed capacity (tpa)" for Site S2 'Beddington Farmlands Energy Recovery Facility' Delete "302,500" and replace with " <u>347,422</u> "	Factual update to reflect changes to the licensed capacity granted by the Environment Agency.
35	100	Appendix 2	<p>Add note under table:</p> <p><u>All safeguarded sites are listed in the table, including those that at the time of publication did not contribute towards the Apportionment and C&D Target. However, these sites have potential to contribute to waste targets in future years if the amount of waste managed onsite increases e.g. through intensification.</u></p>	To improve the clarity of the SLWP.

Ref.	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
30	104	Appendix 4 Glossary	<p>Beneficial Use The placement of excavation waste in a way that:</p> <p>(1) provides environmental benefits, particularly in the restoration of priority habitats, flood alleviation or climate change adaptation/mitigation; or (2) contributes towards the restoration of landfill sites or mineral workings; or <u>(3) uses excavated material within the development</u></p>	Factual update.
32	105	Appendix 4 Glossary	<p><u>Heritage Asset</u> <u>A building, monument, site, place, area or landscape identified as having a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions, because of its heritage interest. Heritage asset includes designated heritage assets and assets identified by the local planning authority (including local listing).</u></p>	Factual update to make the SLWP clearer.
33	105	Appendix 4 Glossary	<p><u>Open Space</u> <u>All open space of public value, including not just land, but also areas of water (such as rivers, canals, lakes and reservoirs) which offer important opportunities for sport and recreation and can act as a visual amenity.</u></p>	Clarification from the Partner Boroughs