# **CROYDON**

# Final Internal Audit Report Provider Payments - Timeliness November 2024

Distribution: Corporate Director of Adult Social Care & Health

Operations Director of Adult Social Care & Health

Head of Business and Service Compliance

Finance Manager (Adult Social Care)

Corporate Director of Resources and S151 Officer

Finance Director and Deputy S151 Officer

Assurance Level	Issues Identified	
	Priority 1	1
Limited Assurance	Priority 2	1
	Priority 3	1

#### Confidentiality and Disclosure Clause

This report ("Report") was prepared by Forvis Mazars LLP at the request of London Borough of Croydon and terms for the preparation and scope of the Report have been agreed with them. The matters raised in this Report are only those which came to our attention during our internal audit work. Whilst every care has been taken to ensure that the information provided in this Report is as accurate as possible, Internal Audit have only been able to base findings on the information and documentation provided and consequently no complete guarantee can be given that this Report is necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist, or of all the improvements that may be required.

The Report was prepared solely for the use and benefit of London Borough of Croydon and to the fullest extent permitted by law Forvis Mazars LLP accepts no responsibility and disclaims all liability to any third party who purports to use or rely for any reason whatsoever on the Report, its contents, conclusions, any extract, reinterpretation, amendment and/or modification. Accordingly, any reliance placed on the Report, its contents, conclusions, any extract, reinterpretation, amendment and/or modification by any third party is entirely at their own risk.

Please refer to the Statement of Responsibility in Appendix 3 of this report for further information about responsibilities, limitations and confidentiality.





E	xecutive Summary	Contents Page
1.	Introduction	3
2.	Key Issues	4
De	etailed Report	
3.	Actions and Key Findings/Rationale	5
4.	Priority 3 issue	13

## **Appendices**

- 1. Terms of Reference
- 2. Definitions for Audit Opinions and Identified Issues
- 3. Statement of Responsibility





**Executive Summary** 

#### 1. Introduction

- 1.1. The Care Act 2014 sets out a legal duty for an adult's 'eligible needs' to be met by the local authority, subject to their financial circumstances. Croydon Council's (the Council) Adult Social Care & Health Strategy 2021 to 2025 (last updated in November 2022) aims to safeguard adults at the risk of abuse or neglect, support resident who have care and support needs, etc. It further states that, "the Council will have a 'Cost of Care Policy' which will support the Adult Social Care Strategy and provide a quality and sustainable provider care market within Croydon. Each year the Council will set out what it will pay as a minimum amount for care to providers to ensure a sustainable market that provides excellent care, provides activities and care that is person centric and has staff development/retention as a key area."
- 1.2. The Council's Payments team makes cyclical payments to Adult Social Care (ASC) service providers in respect of residential and nursing care clients, as well as domiciliary and day care payments. Payments are made to service providers using the LiquidLogic Adult Social Care System Software (LAS) using the ContrOCC tool (which is used by the Council and multiple local authorities as a tool for managing social care finance contracts, payments, assessments, and billing).
- 1.3. The Payments team are notified of the payments to be made to service providers through the interface between LAS and the ContrOCC Provider Portal. Additional care plans with an existing service provider are added to the client's account on LAS by a social worker with details of the agreed care package and weekly cost.
- 1.4. Payment runs are sent to the ICT Central Systems Development team for final processing and to the SWIFT Payment team inbox for information. Payments are approved by the authorised staff per the Scheme of Financial Delegation (SOD).
- 1.5. Between September 2021 and December 2022, a total of £178,536,605 was paid to service providers delivering ASC across 12 different services.
- 1.6. While our review and testing were performed remotely, we have been able to obtain all relevant documents required to complete the review.
- 1.7. This audit was undertaken as part of the agreed Internal Audit Plan for 2023/24. The objectives, approach, and scope are contained in the Audit Terms of Reference at Appendix 1.





LBC Final Report – Provider Payments – Timeliness 2022-23

## 2. Key Issues

2.1 The key issues identified are as below:

## **Priority 1 Issue**

Examination of 34 sample provider payments found eight cases of delays in approving the payment packages and six cases of the initial payments being made prior to these being approved. (Issue 1)

## **Priority 2 Issue**

The Council has not defined timeframes for payment to service providers within its Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs). (Issue 2)

Priority 3 issue is included under item 4 below.





**Detailed Report** 

## 2. Actions and Key Findings/Rationale

## Control Area 3. Legislative, Organisational and Management Requirements

Priority	Action Proposed by Management	Detailed Finding/Rationale – Issue 1
1	<ul> <li>A weekly tray review report is issued to all Heads of Services and team managers which shows tasks that are outstanding and these are shown as priority levels for staff to focus on to ensure timely payments.</li> <li>A review of the hospital discharge process has been carried out and new process implemented to ensure service provisions go on in timely manner.</li> <li>New power BI report under development for hospital discharges to focus on outstanding service provision issues and other key performance indicators</li> </ul>	All support plans are appropriately approved prior to payments being made to providers. Where emergency placements need to be made, the new / revised support plan is reviewed and approved as a matter of urgency.  The Council reviews and monitors the timeliness of approving support plans and initiating payments to service providers and identifies delays in approvals/ early payments to service providers. Such cases are discussed within the team and escalated where required to determine the reasonableness of timelines.  The Council defines a process with shorter timeframes for urgent and emergency cases where payments are made to service providers after the required approvals.  Finding/Issue  Review of screenshots from LiquidLogic and ContrOCC systems and the Provider Activity Report (report of placements made by Adult Social Care team) for a sample of



•	Regular standing agenda item at Performance Board to focus on performance.		i s
		•	
			ľ

Brokerage & Market Management explained that in these cases support had to be
initiated promptly due to emergencies. Notwithstanding, the required approval
should have been obtained as soon as possible after the new / revised support
plans came into place; and

• In six cases the first payments were made to service providers before (with the pre-payment ranging from one to six months and four years in one such case) the placements and providers were approved within LiquidLogic and ContrOCC. As advised by the Head of Business and Service Compliance, for urgent care cases, the payments may get started while the support plans and providers go through the approval process. Notwithstanding the required approval should have been obtained as soon as possible after the new / revised support plans came into place.

As advised by the Business Systems Data Officer and Head of Business and Service Compliance, these cases were due to retrospective addition of care packages to ContrOCC. The payment run may not happen for a few weeks depending on when the payment date was entered in ContrOCC.

Responsible Officer

Operations Director of Adult Social Care & Health / Head of Business and Service Compliance

Deadline

June 2024

Furthermore, the Council did not review/ monitor the timeliness of approving support plans and initiating payments to service providers and did not identify and implement mitigating actions against delays in approvals/ early payments to service providers.

#### Risk

There is a risk that payments are late to care providers and a risk of inadequate care and needs provided to service user which may have financial and reputational impact on the Council and have a safeguarding impact on the service user.



## **Control Area 1. Legislative, Organisational and Management Requirements**

Priority	Action Propos	sed by Management	Detailed Finding/Rationale – Issue 2
2 Review of the existing SOP and			Expected Control
	identify the required timescales and then monitor in line with weekly tray report		The Council has SOPs which clearly define timeframes after considering urgent cases for approvals of support plans and providers and starting provider payments.
	woonly tray re	Sport .	Finding/Issue
			The Council has documented SOPs capturing the process of developing support plans for service users and payment to service providers through LiquidLogic and ContrOCC. Examination of the SOPs found that overall timeframes for payment to service providers were not defined. Furthermore, target timescales for the following processes before making payments to service providers were also not defined:
			Developing a support plan;
			Approval of support plan to update it on Liquid Logic
			Budget approval of service provision
Respons	sible Officer   I	Deadline	Approval of payments to service providers; and
			<ul> <li>Payment processing through Oracle (the Council's finance system).</li> </ul>
	ns Director Social Care	June 2024	Risk
and Health / Head of Adult Placement, Brokerage and Market Management			Where the turnaround times on payments to the service providers are not explicitly defined, there is a risk that the Council fails to process payments on a timely basis due to inconsistencies within the process, leading to service users not receiving proper support.



## 4. Priority 3 Issues

## Control Area 1: Legislative, Organisational and Management Requirements

Agreed action	Findings
Action proposed by management:	Expected Control
	The SOPs within Adult Social Care & Health have version control.
SOPs held on Tri X in regard to this area. All documents will have version control added as	Issue/Finding
required.	Review of the SOPs within the Adult Social Care & Health team found that these
Work as advised above still ongoing but nearing	did not have version control.
completion – target for completion June 24	Risk
	Without version control, there is a risk of an outdated version being referred to and there is a lack of evidence of periodic review.
Responsible Officer:	there is a lack of evidence of periodic review.
Head of Business and Service Compliance	
Deadline:	
June 2024	



#### TERMS OF REFERENCE

## **Provider Payments - Timeliness**

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Care Act 2014 sets out a legal duty for an adult's 'eligible needs' to be met by the local authority, subject to their financial circumstances. The Council's Adult Social Care & Health Strategy 2021 to 2025 (updated in November 2022) aims to safeguard adults at the risk of abuse or neglect, support resident who have care and support needs, etc. It further states that "the Council will have a 'Cost of Care Policy' which will support the Adult Social Care Strategy and provide a quality and sustainable provider care market within Croydon. Each year the Council will set out what it will pay as a minimum amount for care to providers to ensure a sustainable market that provides excellent care, provides activities and care that is person centric and has staff development/retention as a key area."
- 1.2 Croydon Council's (Council) Payments team makes cyclical payments to Adult Social Care (ASC) service providers in respect of residential and nursing care clients, as well as domiciliary and day care payments. Payments are made to service providers using LiquidLogic Adult Social Care System Software (LAS) using the ContrOCC system which is used by the Council and multiple local authorities as a tool for managing social care finance contracts, payments, assessments, and billing.
- 1.3 Between September 2021 and December 2022, a total of £178,536,605 was paid to service providers delivering ASC across 12 different services.
- 1.1 This audit is part of the agreed Internal Audit Plan for 2023/24.

#### 2 OBJECTIVES AND METHOD

- 2.1 The overall audit objective is to provide an objective independent opinion on the adequacy and effectiveness of controls / processes.
- 2.2 The audit will for each controls / process being considered:
  - Walkthrough the processes to consider the key controls;
  - Conduct sample testing of the identified key controls, and
  - Report on these accordingly.



## 3. SCOPE

3.1 This audit, focused on the timeliness of the provider payments and was undertaken as part of the 2023/24 Internal Audit Plan. The specific scope included the following areas and recommendations:

	Issues Raised		
Control Areas/Risks	Priority 1 (High)	Priority 2 (Medium)	Priority 3 (Low)
Legislative, Organisational & Management Requirements;	0	1	1
Notification of Placements or changes in placement circumstances;	0	0	0
Payments to Service Providers	1	0	0
Total	1	1	1



## **Definitions for Audit Opinions and Identified Issues**

In order to assist management in using our reports:

We categorise our **audit assurance opinion** according to our overall assessment of the risk management system, effectiveness of the controls in place and the level of compliance with these controls and the action being taken to remedy significant findings or weaknesses.

Full Assurance	There is a sound system of control designed to achieve the system objectives and the controls are constantly applied.
Substantial Assurance	While there is basically a sound system of control to achieve the system objectives, there are weaknesses in the design or level of non-compliance of the controls which may put this achievement at risk.
Limited Assurance	There are significant weaknesses in key areas of system controls and non-compliance that puts achieving the system objectives at risk.
No Assurance	Controls are non-existent or extremely weak, leaving the system open to the high risk of error, abuse and reputational damage.

Priorities assigned to identified issues are based on the following criteria:

Priority 1 (High)	Fundamental control weaknesses that require immediate attention by management to action and mitigate significant exposure to risk.
Priority 2 (Medium)	Control weakness that still represent an exposure to risk and need to be addressed within a reasonable period.
Priority 3 (Low)	Although control weaknesses are considered to be relatively minor and low risk, still provides an opportunity for improvement. May also apply to areas considered to be of best practice that can improve for example the value for money of the review area.



## **Statement of Responsibility**

We take responsibility to London Borough of Croydon for this report which is prepared on the basis of the limitations set out below.

The responsibility for designing and maintaining a sound system of internal control and the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities rests with management, with internal audit providing a service to management to enable them to achieve this objective. Specifically, we assess the adequacy and effectiveness of the system of internal control arrangements implemented by management and perform sample testing on those controls in the period under review with a view to providing an opinion on the extent to which risks in this area are managed.

We plan our work in order to ensure that we have a reasonable expectation of detecting significant control weaknesses. However, our procedures alone should not be relied upon to identify all strengths and weaknesses in internal controls, nor relied upon to identify any circumstances of fraud or irregularity. Even sound systems of internal control can only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance and may not be proof against collusive fraud.

The matters raised in this report are only those which came to our attention during the course of our work and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist or all improvements that might be made. Recommendations for improvements should be assessed by you for their full impact before they are implemented. The performance of our work is not and should not be taken as a substitute for management's responsibilities for the application of sound management practices.

This report is confidential and must not be disclosed to any third party or reproduced in whole or in part without our prior written consent. To the fullest extent permitted by law Forvis Mazars LLP accepts no responsibility and disclaims all liability to any third party who purports to use or rely for any reason whatsoever on the Report, its contents, conclusions, any extract, reinterpretation amendment and/or modification by any third party is entirely at their own risk.

Registered office: 30 Old Bailey, London, EC4M 7AU, United Kingdom. Registered in England and Wales No 0C308299.

