



Final Internal Audit Report Pension Administration March 2017

Distribution: Executive Drirector Resources (Final Only)

Director of Customer Transformation

Head of Finance Service Centre

Pensions Admin Manager

Governance and Compliance Manager

Assurance Level	Recommendations	Made
	Priority 1	0
Substantial Assurance	Priority 2	1
	Priority 3	3

Confidentiality and Disclosure Clause

This report has been prepared on the basis of the limitations set out in Appendix 3.

This report and the work connected therewith are subject to the Terms and Conditions of the Contract dated 1st April 2008 between the London Borough of Croydon and Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Ltd. The content of the report is confidential and has been prepared for the sole use of the London Borough of Croydon and must not be disclosed to any third party or reproduced in whole or in part without our prior written consent. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we accept no responsibility or liability to any third party who purports to use or rely, for any reason whatsoever on this report, its contents or conclusions.

Contents

		Page
E	xecutive Summary	
l.:	Introduction	. 2
2.	Key Issues	. 2
_ D	etailed Report	
3.	Actions and Key Findings/Rationale	. 3
l.	Priority Three Recommendations	. 5

Appendices

- 1. Terms of Reference
- 2. Definitions For Audit Opinions And Recommendations
- 3. Statement Of Responsibility

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Croydon Council is the "administering authority" in the Local Government Pension Scheme Regulations.
- 1.2 As an administering authority the Council discharges its duties in respect of maintaining the Pension Fund through the work of the Pensions Committee. Its terms of reference are to deal with all matters relating to the investment and management/administration of the Fund. Members of the Committee subscribe to a Knowledge and Skills framework that ensures they have access to training and support in taking decisions.
- 1.3 In addition, the Pension Committee is supported by officers and external advisers, independent professional observers and scheme members.
- 1.4 In line with the requirements of the Public Service Pensions Act 2013 the Council has also established a pension board. The primary role of the board is to help ensure the pension scheme complies with governance and administration requirements.
- 1.5 This audit is being undertaken as part of the agreed Internal Audit Plan for 2016/17. It is also carried out as part of our annual review of key financial systems and used as a source of assurance by the Council's external auditors, Grant Thornton.

2. Key issue

Priority 2 Recommendation

There were still 4415 outstanding application system tasks at the time of the audit fieldwork (November 2016) (Rec 1).

The priority 3 recommendations are included under item 4 below.

3. Actions and Key Findings/Rationale

Control	Control Area 6: Performance Monitoring			
Priority	Recommendation 1	Detailed Finding/Rational	nal	
8	Management should ensure that the number of outstanding system application tasks on Altair is significantly reduced.	Targets are set for dealing with ea Where these are not met, the resp as possible. Team leaders are res Altair system and taking corrective During the audit, it was establishe Altair as of 9th November 2016. At these outstanding tasks dated back is a backlog of low priority tasks an 2016 was to submit the data requir correction for over 3 years. Discussion with key staff identified run only at management's request. Where outstanding tasks are not reneeds of scheme members are no in breach of pension regulations.	Targets are set for dealing with each task within the Pensions Service Procedures. Where these are not met, the responsible officer is required to clear these as soon as possible. Team leaders are responsible for monitoring tasks outstanding on the Altair system and taking corrective action where required. During the audit, it was established that there were still 4415 outstanding tasks on Altair as of 9th November 2016. Analysis of the report established that a number of these outstanding tasks dated back as far as to 2012. It was explained that this report is a backlog of low priority tasks and also that the main priority from April to October 2016 was to submit the data required for the Fund's valuation; a task requiring data correction for over 3 years. Discussion with key staff identified that reports of outstanding tasks on Altair were run only at management's request. Where outstanding tasks are not resolved in a timely manner, there is a risk that the needs of scheme members are not met and in some instances the Council may be in breach of pension regulations.	ons Service Procedures. d to clear these as soon tasks outstanding on the blished that a number of explained that this report rity from April to October on; a task requiring data ling tasks on Altair were sr, there is a risk that the nees the Council may be
Manager	Management Response	Agreed/Disagreed	Responsible Officer	Deadline
We have processes have also outstandir at the sar workflow to ensure timescaler the backlo	We have since November reviewed and revised many of our processes to ensure they are efficient and fit for purpose. We have also revised our working pattern by putting all outstanding work as at the 7th November into "backlog" and at the same time creating a new Business As Usual (BAU) workflow where all new cases are recorded. The intention is to ensure all BAU (new) cases are completed within timescales and therefore ensure no new cases are added to the backlog. It also means that team members are not faced	Agreed	Pensions Admin Manager	Ongoing

	-	
with 100s of backlog cases when they assess their workload		
which of course is not only demoralising but also less		
efficient.		
We are now completing the BAU cases on time and have		
É		
reduced the odisianum gleases to 4032. The pare packing		
cases outstanding was 3,600 in November and is now 2,800.		

Pension Administration 2016/17

Pension Administration 2016/17

Priority Three Recommendations

4

K	Recommendation	Detailed Finding/Rational
-	Reconciliations of pension contributions to bank statements should be conducted on a monthly basis for all admitted bodies.	Examination of the reconciliation schedule for a random admitted body identified that no reconciliations had been performed for the year to date. However, this spreadsheet was updated at the time of audit.
73	For each joiner, a welcome letter and membership certificate should be sent within 13 weeks of the start date and details should be checked by a second officer after input.	A sample of 10 random joiners was tested. One employee had not been sent a welcome letter or a membership certificate and no reasoning was given as to why these had not been sent. Furthermore, the input of this employees details on the system had not been checked by a second officer and no reasoning was given as to why.
		Management comment: This has been looked into. It is not clear why it was missed but I am confident that this is an exception. This member has now received their welcome letter.
က်	A plan for the internal and external training of Pensions staff should be created.	Where internal and external training is not documented in a training plan, there is the risk that Pensions staff training will not be thorough or timely and will not allow staff to perform their duties effectively and efficiently.
		Management comment:
		We have an internal training officer, who provides regular technical training to the team as well as bespoke training where needs are identified within the team.
		We also have two trainees that have formal training programmes in place through to their probation period and then bespoke training designed to enable them to progress to the next level.

TERMS OF REFERENCE

Pension Administration

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Croydon Council is the administering authority for its employees in relation to the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS). The Pensions Team of the Finance Division are responsible and use the Altair software solution
- 1.2 For 2015/16 membership was 8,802 active members, 8,334 deferred members and 7,051 pensioners. Pension fund contributions for 2015/16 were £52,518. For the same period, pension payments were £39,792k, commutation and lump sum benefits were £9,166k and lump sum death benefits were £1,160k.
- 1.3 This audit is being undertaken as part of the Internal Audit Plan for 2016/17, as agreed by the Council's General Purposes and Audit Committee.

2. OBJECTIVES AND METHODOLOGY

- 2.1 The overall audit objective is to provide an objective independent opinion on the adequacy and effectiveness of controls / processes relating to Pension Administration.
- 2.2 The audit will for each area included in the scope:
 - Document and evaluate the risks and controls for each process to consider the key controls;
 - Undertake sufficient testing of controls operating, on a sample of transactions: and
 - Reach a conclusion on the effectiveness of the controls operating and report.

3. SCOPE

3.1 The audit included the following areas (and number of recommendations made):

	Recommendations		
Control Areas/Risks	Priority 1 (High)	Priority 2 (Medium)	Priority 3 (Low)
Employer and Employee Contributions (are correctly calculated and paid into the pension fund on a timely basis)	0	0	3
Transfer Values and Inter-fund Adjustments	0	0	0
Refunds	0	0	0
Benefits, Retirement Pensions and Grants (are accurately processed in accordance with LGPS rules)	0	0	0
Pensions Data	0	0	0
Performance Monitoring	0	1	0

DEFINITIONS FOR AUDIT OPINIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In order to assist management in using our reports:

We categorise our **audit assurance opinion** according to our overall assessment of the risk management system, effectiveness of the controls in place and the level of compliance with these controls and the action being taken to remedy significant findings or weaknesses.

	Full Assurance	There is a sound system of control designed to achieve the system objectives and the controls are consistently applied.
0	Substantial Assurance	While there is basically a sound system of control to achieve the system objectives, there are weaknesses in the design or level of non-compliance which may put this achievement at risk.
0	Limited Assurance	There are significant weaknesses in key areas of system controls and/or non-compliance that puts achieving the system objectives at risk.
	No Assurance	Controls are non-existent or weak and/or there are high levels of non-compliance, leaving the system open to the high risk of error or abuse which could result in financial loss and/or reputational damage.

Priorities assigned to recommendations are based on the following criteria:

Priority 1 (High)	Fundamental control weaknesses that require the immediate attention of management to mitigate significant exposure to risk.
Priority 2 (Medium)	Control weakness that represent an exposure to risk and require timely action.
Priority 3 (Low)	Although control weaknesses are considered to be relatively minor and low risk, action to address still provides an opportunity for improvement. May also apply to areas considered to be of best practice.

STATEMENT OF RESPONSIBILITY

We take responsibility for this report which is prepared on the basis of the limitations set out below.

The matters raised in this report are only those which came to our attention during the course of our work and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist or all improvements that might be made. Recommendations for improvements should be assessed by you for their full impact before they are implemented. The performance of our work is not and should not be taken as a substitute for management's responsibilities for the application of sound management practices. We emphasise that the responsibility for a sound system of internal controls and the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities rests with management and work performed by us should not be relied upon to identify all strengths and weaknesses in internal controls, nor relied upon to identify all circumstances of fraud or irregularity. Even sound systems of internal control can only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance and may not be proof against collusive fraud. Our procedures are designed to focus on areas as identified by management as being of greatest risk and significance and as such we rely on management to provide us full access to their accounting records and transactions for the purposes of our work and to ensure the authenticity of such material. Effective and timely implementation of our recommendations by management is important for the maintenance of a reliable internal control system.

Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited

London

March 2017

This document is confidential and prepared solely for your information. Therefore you should not, without our prior written consent, refer to or use our name or this document for any other purpose, disclose them or refer to them in any prospectus or other document, or make them available or communicate them to any other party. No other party is entitled to rely on our document for any purpose whatsoever and thus we accept no liability to any other party who is shown or gains access to this document.

In this document references to Mazars are references to Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited.

Registered office: Tower Bridge House, St Katharine's Way, London E1W 1DD, United Kingdom. Registered in England and Wales No 4585162.

Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited is a subsidiary of Mazars LLP. Mazars LLP is the UK firm of Mazars, an international advisory and accountancy group. Mazars LLP is registered by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales to carry out company audit work.