



# Final Internal Audit Report Prevent Agenda October 2016

**Distribution:** 

**Executive Director of Place (Final Only)** 

**Director of Safety** 

Senior Manager Policy Performance and Partnerships

**Policy Officer** 

Assurance Level	Recommendati	ons Made
	Priority 1	0
Substantial Assurance	Priority 2	1
	Priority 3	0

### **Confidentiality and Disclosure Clause**

This report has been prepared on the basis of the limitations set out in Appendix 3.

This report and the work connected therewith are subject to the Terms and Conditions of the Contract dated 1st April 2008 between the London Borough of Croydon and Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Ltd. The content of the report is confidential and has been prepared for the sole use of the London Borough of Croydon and must not be disclosed to any third party or reproduced in whole or in part without our prior written consent. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we accept no responsibility or liability to any third party who purports to use or rely, for any reason whatsoever on this report, its contents or conclusions.

## **Contents**

# Page

# **Executive Summary**

1.	Introduction
2.	Prevent is a key strand of the Government's Counter Terrorism Strategy, CONTEST made up of four parts, Prevent, Pursue, Protect and Prepare. The purpose of Prevent is to stop individuals from becoming terrorists or supporting terrorism.
1.1	The recent Counter Terrorism and Security Act 2015, created a duty whereby Prevent activity has to become part of the mainstream work of the Council and its partners.
1.2	As part of the Prevent Agenda at a local level, the Safer Croydon Partnership works closely with the security services and the community with the aim to reduce the risks presented by individuals.
1.3	This audit is being undertaken as part of the agreed Internal Audit Plan for 2016/17.
3.	Key Issue2
D	etailed Report
4.	Actions and Key Findings/Rationale

# **Appendices**

- 5. TERMS OF REFERENCE
- 6. DEFINITIONS FOR AUDIT OPINIONS AND RECOMENDATIONS
- 7. STATEMENT OF RESPONSIBILITY

### Executive Summary

### 2. Introduction

- 2.1 Prevent is a key strand of the Government's Counter Terrorism Strategy, CONTEST made up of four parts, Prevent, Pursue, Protect and Prepare. The purpose of Prevent is to stop individuals from becoming terrorists or supporting terrorism.
- 2.2 The recent Counter Terrorism and Security Act 2015, created a duty whereby Prevent activity has to become part of the mainstream work of the Council and its partners.
- 2.3 As part of the Prevent Agenda at a local level, the Safer Croydon Partnership works closely with the security services and the community with the aim to reduce the risks presented by individuals.
- 2.4 This audit is being undertaken as part of the agreed Internal Audit Plan for 2016/17.

### 3. Key Issue

### **Priority 2 Recommendation**

At the time of the audit, the Channel Panel's Terms of Reference and Information Sharing Agreement had not been signed off by appropriate officers.

# 4. Actions and Key Findings/Rationale

Prevent Agenda 2016-17

Control	Control Area 4: Multi-Agency Support	
Priority	Recommendation 1	Detailed Finding/Rational
8	The Terms of Reference for the Channel Panel and the Information Sharing Agreement should be signed as agreed by appropriate officers or be minuted as agreed.  The Information Sharing Agreement should be amended to specify the start date.	The Channel Panel Terms of Reference states that it 'will be reviewed on an annual basis'. It should also be amended as and when any updates to the process or policy are made. In addition, the Information Sharing Agreement is to be 'reviewed six months after coming into force and annually thereafter'.  Examination of the Channel Panel's Terms Of Reference, dated January 2016, and the Information Sharing Agreement identified that neither document had been signed as agreed by appropriate officers or been minuted as agreed by the Channel Panel.  The Terms of Reference should be signed on behalf of:  The Croydon Borough Council Channel Chair;  MPS SO15 Channel Team;  MPS SO15 Channel Team;  Croydon Borough Council Family Justice Centre;  Croydon Borough Council Family Justice Centre;  Croydon Borough Council Family Justice Centre;  Croydon Clinical Commissioning Group; and  South London & Maudsley Mental Health Trust.  The Information Sharing Agreement should be signed on behalf of:  The London Borough of Croydon;

	The National Probation Service;	ion Service;	
	The London Commu	The London Community Rehabilitation Company;	
	The Croydon Clinical	The Croydon Clinical Commissioning Group;	
	Croydon National Health Service; and	ealth Service; and	
	South London and M	South London and Maudsley Mental Health Trust.	
	It is noted from discussions we that the agreement of the Information of being updated. In addition that the start date had not be when it is due to be reviewed.	ons with both the Prevent Cost Information Sharing Agreen Idition, examination of the Institute been specified and it was swed.	It is noted from discussions with both the Prevent Coordinator and the Director of Safety that the agreement of the Information Sharing Agreement was overdue and in the process of being updated. In addition, examination of the Information Sharing Agreement found that the start date had not been specified and it was therefore not possible to determine when it is due to be reviewed.
	Where key documents such as the Channel Passaring Agreement are not signed off appropriate that roles and responsibilities are not formally to resolve potential disputes should they arise.	uch as the Channel Panel's 'not signed off appropriately, lilities are not formally agreed tes should they arise.	Where key documents such as the Channel Panel's Terms of Reference and Information Sharing Agreement are not signed off appropriately, or minuted as agreed, there is a risk that roles and responsibilities are not formally agreed and it may therefore prove difficult to resolve potential disputes should they arise.
Management Response	Agreed/Disagreed	Responsible Officer	Deadline
Agreed.	Agreed	Director of Safety	January 2017

Prevent Agenda 2016-17



### **TERMS OF REFERENCE**

### **Prevent Agenda**

### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Prevent is a key strand of the Government's Counter Terrorism Strategy, CONTEST. The purpose of Prevent is to stop people from becoming terrorists or supporting terrorism and is made up of four parts, Prevent, Pursue, Protect and Prepare.
- 1.2 The recent Counter Terrorism and Security Act 2015, created a duty whereby Prevent activity has to become part of the mainstream work of the Council and its partners.
- 1.3 As part of the Prevent Agenda, at a local level the Safer Croydon Partnership works closely with the security services and the community with the aim to reduce the risks presented by those from within our community.
- 1.4 This audit is being undertaken as part of the agreed Internal Audit Plan for 2016/17.

### 2. OBJECTIVES AND METHODOLOGY

- 2.1 The overall audit objective is to provide an objective independent opinion on the adequacy and effectiveness of controls/processes around the Council's Prevent Agenda.
- 2.2 The audit will for area being considered:
  - Identify and document the processes, risks and key controls;
  - Conduct sample testing of the identified key controls, and
  - Report on these accordingly.

### 3. SCOPE

2.1 The audit will include the following areas:

Control Areas/Risks	Recommendations Made		
Control Areas/Risks	Priority 1 (High)	Priority 2 (Medium)	Priority 3 (Low)
Legislative, Organisational and Management Requirements	0	0	0
Intelligence Gathering	0	0	0
Education and Awareness	0	0	0
Multi-Agency Support	0	1	0
Monitoring and Management Reporting	0	0	0



### **DEFINITIONS FOR AUDIT OPINIONS AND RECOMENDATIONS**

In order to assist management in using our reports:

We categorise our **audit assurance opinion** according to our overall assessment of the risk management system, effectiveness of the controls in place and the level of compliance with these controls and the action being taken to remedy significant findings or weaknesses.

	Full Assurance  There is a sound system of control designed to achiev system objectives and the controls are constantly applications.	
	Substantial Assurance  While there is basically a sound system of control to achieve the system objectives, there are weaknesse the design or level of non-compliance of the controls which may put this achievement at risk.	
0	Limited Assurance  There are significant weaknesses in key areas of system controls and non-compliance that puts achieving the system objectives at risk,	
	No Assurance  Controls are non-existent or extremely weak, leaving the system open to the high risk of error, abuse and reputational damage.	

Priorities assigned to recommendations are based on the following criteria:

Priority 1 (High)	Fundamental control weaknesses that require immediate attention by management to action and mitigate significant exposure to risk.
Priority 2 (Medium)	Control weakness that still represent an exposure to risk and need to be addressed within a reasonable period.
Priority 3 (Low)	Although control weaknesses are considered to be relatively minor and low risk, still provides an opportunity for improvement. May also apply to areas considered to be of best practice that can improve for example the value for money of the review area.



### STATEMENT OF RESPONSIBILITY

We take responsibility for this report which is prepared on the basis of the limitations set out below.

The matters raised in this report are only those which came to our attention during the course of our work and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist or all improvements that might be made. Recommendations for improvements should be assessed by you for their full impact before they are implemented. The performance of our work is not and should not be taken as a substitute for management's responsibilities for the application of sound management practices. We emphasise that the responsibility for a sound system of internal controls and the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities rests with management and work performed by us should not be relied upon to identify all strengths and weaknesses in internal controls, nor relied upon to identify all circumstances of fraud or irregularity. Even sound systems of internal control can only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance and may not be proof against collusive fraud. Our procedures are designed to focus on areas as identified by management as being of greatest risk and significance and as such we rely on management to provide us full access to their accounting records and transactions for the purposes of our work and to ensure the authenticity of such material. Effective and timely implementation of our recommendations by management is important for the maintenance of a reliable internal control system.

### Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited

### London

### October 2016

This document is confidential and prepared solely for your information. Therefore you should not, without our prior written consent, refer to or use our name or this document for any other purpose, disclose them or refer to them in any prospectus or other document, or make them available or communicate them to any other party. No other party is entitled to rely on our document for any purpose whatsoever and thus we accept no liability to any other party who is shown or gains access to this document.

In this document references to Mazars are references to Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited.

Registered office: Tower Bridge House, St Katharine's Way, London E1W 1DD, United Kingdom. Registered in England and Wales No 4585162.

Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited is a subsidiary of Mazars LLP. Mazars LLP is the UK firm of Mazars, an international advisory and accountancy group. Mazars LLP is registered by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales to carry out company audit work.