



Final Internal Audit Report Main Accounting 17/18 (Limited Scope) April 2018

Distribution:

Executive Director of Resources and s151 Officer (Final only)

Director of Finance Investment and Risk

Head of Finance, Resources and Accountancy

Assurance Level	Recommendations Made	
Fuji Assurance	Priority 2	0
	Priority 3	0

Status of Our Reports

This report ("Report") was prepared by Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Ltd at the request of London Borough of Croydon and terms for the preparation and scope of the Report have been agreed with them. The matters raised in this Report are only those which came to our attention during our internal audit work. Whilst every care has been taken to ensure that the information provided in this Report is as accurate as possible, Internal Audit have only been able to base findings on the information and documentation provided and consequently no complete guarantee can be given that this Report is necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist, or of all the improvements that may be required.

The Report was prepared solely for the use and benefit of London Borough of Croydon and to the fullest extent permitted by law Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Ltd. accepts no responsibility and disclaims all liability to any third party who purports to use or rely for any reason whatsoever on the Report, its contents, conclusions, any extract, reinterpretation, amendment and/or modification. Accordingly, any reliance placed on the Report, its contents, conclusions, any extract, reinterpretation, amendment and/or modification by any third party is entirely at their own risk.

Please refer to the Statement of Responsibility in Appendix 3 of this report for further Information about responsibilities, limitations and confidentiality

Contents

Page

Executive Summary

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Key Issues	2

Appendices

- 1. Terms Of Reference
- 2. Definitions For Audit Opinions And Recommendations
- 3. Statement Of Responsibility

Executive Summary

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Corporate Finance Team is responsible for the reconciliation of the creditors and debtors control accounts, and the production of final accounts. The Oracle Support Team is responsible for setting up new account codes, controlling and reconciling interfaces between feeder systems and Oracle, and clearing general ledger suspense accounts.
- 1.2 As part of the agreed 2017/18 Internal Audit Plan, an internal audit of Main Accounting was undertaken.

2. Key Issues

2.1 There were no findings identified.

TERMS OF REFERENCE

Main Accounting

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Corporate Finance Team is responsible for the reconciliation of the creditors and debtors control accounts, and the production of final accounts. The Oracle Support Team is responsible for setting up new account codes, controlling and reconciling interfaces between feeder systems and Oracle, and clearing general ledger suspense accounts.
- 1.2 As part of the agreed 2017/18 Internal Audit Plan, an internal audit of Main Accounting has been identified to be undertaken.

2. OBJECTIVES AND METHOD

- 2.1 The overall audit objective is to provide an objective independent opinion on the adequacy and effectiveness of controls / processes
- 2.2 The audit will for each controls / process being considered:
 - Walkthrough the processes to consider the key controls;
 - Conduct sample testing of the identified key controls, and
 - · Report on these accordingly.

3. SCOPE

3.1 The audit included the following areas:

Control Areas/Risks	ls	Issues Identified		
	Priority 1 (High)	Priority 2 (Medium)	Priority 3 (Low)	
Completeness and Accuracy of Records;	0	0	0	
Journal Entries; and	0	0	O,	
Budgetary Control	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	

DEFINITIONS FOR AUDIT OPINIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In order to assist management in using our reports:

We categorise our **audit assurance opinion** according to our overall assessment of the risk management system, effectiveness of the controls in place and the level of compliance with these controls and the action being taken to remedy significant findings or weaknesses.

Full Assurance	There is a sound system of control designed to achieve the system objectives and the controls are consistently applied.
Substantial Assurance	While there is basically a sound system of control to achieve the system objectives, there are weaknesses in the design or level of non-compliance which may put this achievement at risk.
Limited Assurance	There are significant weaknesses in key areas of system controls and/or non-compliance that puts achieving the system objectives at risk.
No Assurance	Controls are non-existent or weak and/or there are high levels of non-compliance, leaving the system open to the high risk of error or abuse which could result in financial loss and/or reputational damage.

Priorities assigned to recommendations are based on the following criteria:

Priority 1 (High)	Fundamental control weaknesses that require the immediate attention of management to mitigate significant exposure to risk.
Priority 2 (Medium)	Control weakness that represent an exposure to risk and require timely action.
Priority 3 (Low)	Although control weaknesses are considered to be relatively minor and low risk, action to address still provides an opportunity for improvement. May also apply to areas considered to be of best practice.

Appendix 3

STATEMENT OF RESPONSIBILITY

We take responsibility to the London Borough of Croydon for this report which is prepared on the basis of the limitations set out below.

The responsibility for designing and maintaining a sound system of internal control and the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities rests with management, with internal audit providing a service to management to enable them to achieve this objective. Specifically, we assess the adequacy and effectiveness of the system of internal control arrangements implemented by management and perform sample testing on those controls in the period under review with a view to providing an opinion on the extent to which risks in this area are managed.

We plan our work in order to ensure that we have a reasonable expectation of detecting significant control weaknesses. However, our procedures alone should not be relied upon to identify all strengths and weaknesses in internal controls, nor relied upon to identify any circumstances of fraud or irregularity. Even sound systems of internal control can only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance and may not be proof against collusive fraud. The matters raised in this report are only those which came to our attention during the course of our work and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist or all improvements that might be made. Recommendations for improvements should be assessed by you for their full impact before they are implemented. The performance of our work is not and should not be taken as a substitute for management's responsibilities for the application of sound management practices.

This report is confidential and must not be disclosed to any third party or reproduced in whole or in part without our prior written consent. To the fullest extent permitted by law Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited accepts no responsibility and disclaims all liability to any third party who purports to use or reply for any reason whatsoever on the Report, its contents, conclusions, any extract, reinterpretation amendment and/or modification by any third party is entirely at their own risk.

In this document references to Mazars are references to Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited.

Registered office: Tower Bridge House, St Katharine's Way, London E1W 1DD, United Kingdom, Registered in England and Wales No 4585162.

Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited is a subsidiary of Mazars LLP. Mazars LLP is the UK firm of Mazars, an international advisory and accountancy group. Mazars LLP is registered by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales to carry out company audit work.