



Final Internal Audit Report Pensions Administration April 2019

Distribution: Executive Director of Resources (Final only)

Director of Human Resources

Head of HR and Finance Service Centre

Director of Finance, Investment and Risk and s.151 Officer

Interim Pensions Manager

Assurance Level	Recommendations	s Made
	Priority 1	1
Limited Assurance	Priority 2	3
	Priority 3	1

Confidentiality and Disclosure Clause

This report ("Report") was prepared by Mazars £LP at the request of London Borough of Croydon and terms for the preparation and scope of the Report have been agreed with them. The matters raised in this Report are only those which came to our attention during our internal audit work. Whilst every care has been taken to ensure that the information provided in this Report is as accurate as possible, Internal Audit have only been able to base findings on the Information and documentation provided and consequently no complete guarantee can be given that this Report is necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist, or of all the improvements that may be required.

The Report was prepared solely for the use and benefit of London Borough of Croydon and to the fullest extent permitted by law Mazars LLP accepts no responsibility and disclaims all liability to any third party who purports to use or rely for any reason whatsoever on the Report, its contents, conclusions, any extract, reinterpretation, amendment and/or modification. Accordingly, any rellance placed on the Report, its contents, conclusions, any extract, reinterpretation, amendment and/or modification by any third party is entirely at their own risk.

Please refer to the Statement of Responsibility in Appendix 3 of this report for further information about responsibilities, Ilmitations and confidentiality.

Contents

Page

Executive Summary

1.	Introduction
2.	Key Issues

Detailed Report

3.	Actions And Key Findings/Rationale	4
3.	Priority 3 Issues	8

Appendices

- 1. Terms of Reference
- 2. Definitions for Audit Opinions and Recommendations
- 3. Statement of Responsibility

Executive Summary

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Croydon Council is the administering authority for its employees in relation to the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS). As such, the Council is responsible for ensuring that the Pension Fund is appropriately invested and that monies paid out of the Fund are paid in accordance with the LGPS Regulations.
- 1.2 The LGPS is a statutory, funded pension scheme for local government employees as well as non-teaching staff of further and higher education establishments and non-local government employees who are entitled to join the Scheme under an admission agreement. The Pensions Team of the Human Resources Division is responsible and uses the Altair software solution.
- 1.3 The previous Pensions Manager left in December 2018 and his role is currently being covered by two members of the team jointly acting up on an interim basis.
- 1.4 The objectives, methodology and scope are contained in the Audit Terms of Reference at Appendix 1.

2. Key Issues

Priority 1 Issue

Life certificates had not been issued during 2017/18 or 2018/19 for pensioners living overseas. (Issue 2).

Priority 2 Issues

A new pension starter process had not been started for one of the sample of ten new starters tested and new starter forms had not been returned for a further four of the sample, (Issue 1).

Staff did not regularly check that pension system backups were actually being performed by Aquila Heywood, who host the service, or that any test restores had occurred, (Issue 3).

There were 4254 outstanding tasks on Altair as of 31 January 2019, an issue that was also reported in the 2017/18 audit report, (Issue 4).

The Priority 3 issue is included under item 4 below.

Actions and Key Findings/Rationale

က

Control	Area 2: Emplo	Control Area 2: Employer and Employee contributions	butions
Priority	Action Propo	Priority Action Proposed by Management	Detailed Finding/Rationale - Issue 1
2	All new starters should be enrolled by their employ pension scheme, which form part of their employment. We will reremployers of the need to starter form as part of boarding. We will review our work a the aim of all new starters welcome pack within However priority contir focussed on those membreting or who have passed with regards to new start being returned we do not in any further actions to be members to return those.	All new starters should be contractually enrolled by their employers into the pension scheme, which should also form part of their contract of employment. We will remind scheme employers of the need to send a new starter form as part of employee on boarding. We will review our work allocation with the aim of all new starters being sent a welcome pack within 13 weeks. However priority continues to be focussed on those members who are retiring or who have passed away. With regards to new starter forms not being returned we do not intend to take any further actions to be chasing up members to return those.	All new starters are automatically enrolled on the Council's pension, unless they opt out and each starter is provided with a new pension starter form to complete, providing additional details (such as death grant expression of wish) and confirming their enrolment to the pension scheme. Each new starter is also set up on the pension system and a welcome pack is required to be sent out within 13 weeks. Examination of the records held for a sample of 10 new starters found that: In one instance for a starter on 13 March 2018, the new starter process had not been started. The welcome pack therefore had not been sent out. In a further instance there was no record to confirm whether the welcome pack was sent out within 13 weeks. In five more instances the signed new starter form had not been returned. Where welcome packs are not sent out in a timely manner and starter forms are not returned, there is a risk staff may not be fully aware or their pension and that not all required details, (such as death grant expression of wish) are known.
Respon	Responsible officer	Deadline	
Head of HR & Finance Service Centre	HR & Service	31st July 2019	

O
$\overline{}$
-
8
-
\approx
2
O
=
្យ
#=
S
-
1
E
Ö
ď
-
ည
=
.0
CO.
യ
Λ.

Control	Area 5: Benef	Control Area 5: Benefits, Retirement Pensions and Deaths	and Deaths
Priority	Action Propo	Priority Action Proposed by Management	Detailed Finding/Rationale - Issue 2
4-	The latest NFI rest available to us in M have taken action to cases identificates we member has passed and retaken we will ne pensioners and will Union with the aim of for this by end April. We have been companies who can p screening/tracing seponsioners and after we will need to procurement exercise commencing in Autun	The latest NFI results were made available to us in March 2019. We have taken action to suspend those cases identified by NFI and are seeking death certificates to confirm the member has passed away. As NFI match has been recently undertaken we will next focus overseas pensioners and will contact Western Union with the aim of agreeing a plan for this by end April. We have been speaking with companies who can provide a mortality screening/tracing service for UK pensioners and after receiving quotes we will need to undertake a procurement exercise with the aim of commencing in Autumn 2019.	The Pensions team should annually send out life certificates to pensioners aged over 85 years and pensioners living overseas, which are required to be signed and returned by the pensioners. The Council uses Western Union to provide the 'proof of existence' service for overseas pensioners. Discussions with the Pensions Team Manager established that an initial meeting regarding life certificates was held in February 2019 to discuss the process and what was needed. Following receipt of the NFI death report, meetings with tracing services companies and in light of the General Data Protection Regulations, it was decided to postpone the project until later in 2019 when the tracing project has been run, so that the address being written to is up to date. Quotes were being obtained from various companies for a full tracing service. This issue was also raised in the 2017/18 audit report as life certificates were not sent out during that year either. If no annual life certificates are sent out, there is no assurance that pensioners aged over 85 years and pensioners living overseas are still alive and eligible to receive their pension. This can lead to a possible overpayment to a deceased pensioner, showing a poor control of finances by the Council and possibly difficulties in recovering overpayments.
Respon	Responsible officer	Deadline	
Head of HR & Finance Service Centre	HR & Service	30 th November 2019	

Control	Control Area 6: Pensions Data	ons Data	
Priority	Action Propo	Priority Action Proposed by Management	Detailed Finding/Rationale - Issue 3
7	Aquila Heyw provide backi documented	Aquila Heywood are contracted to provide backups and the schedule is documented as part of the contract.	Aquila Heywood are contracted to provide backups and the schedule is and that testing of the contract.
	A service re reguest evic restores being cloud hosted	A service request will be raised to request evidence of backups/test restores being undertaken or as this is cloud hosted whether there is any	Staff confirmed that all Altair backups are required to be performed by Aquila Heywood as they host the service. However, no evidence was available that staff regularly check that these backups were actually being performed or that any test restores had occurred.
	mirroring or o	mirroring or other failover in place.	Where periodic assurance is not obtained over the backups and test restores, there is a risk that these are not being performed as required. Although these are the
Respons	Responsible officer	Deadline	responsibility of Aquila Heywood, should there be a system failure or data corruption,
Head of HR & Finance Service Centre	HR & Service	30 th April 2019	restored in a timely manner.

Pensions Administration 2018/19

Control	Control Area 7: Performance Monitoring	ince Monitoring	
Priority	Action Propose	Priority Action Proposed by Management	Detailed Finding/Rationale – Issue 4
8	We continue to mo workload and prioritise daily basis. There is a large number team who came in with and their learning and cuntil they have experient of cases. There is conwork as we are adm scheme employer acroregulations/calculations payroll systems. The main issue on over the main issue on over the payroll systems. The main issue on over the main issue on over the payroll systems. The main issue on over the penefit calculations on including sourcing extendant the obtain high level of business case by end Juna and payrolls.	We continue to monitor the outstanding workload and prioritise outstanding tasks on a daily basis. There is a large number of fairly new staff in the team who came in without LGPS experience and their learning and development is ongoing until they have experience to deal with all types of cases. There is complexity in this area of work as we are administering to over 100 scheme employer across 3 sets of pensions regulations/calculations and multiple historic payroll systems. The main issue on overdue tasks is deferred benefit calculations. We are considering different resourcing external support. We have spoken with 2 companies. The next step will be to obtain high level quotes and produce a business case by end June 2019.	Targets are set for dealing with each task within the Pensions Service Procedures. Where these are not met, the responsible officer is required to clear these as soon as possible. Team leaders are responsible for monitoring tasks outstanding on the Altair system and taking corrective action where required. During the Audit, it was established that there were 4254 outstanding tasks on Altair as of 31 January 2019, (this issue was also reported in the 2017/18 Pensions Audit Report). Discussion established that staff were working on clearing these outstanding tasks, including using 'blitz days'. Furthermore, they were also in the process of obtaining quotes from two companies after initial meetings at the beginning of the year and were in the process of creating a Valuation Project team to target leavers for the valuation. Where outstanding tasks are not resolved in a timely manner, there is a risk that the needs of scheme members are not met, the scheme may be incorrectly valued and in some instances the Council may be in breach of pension regulations.
Respon	Responsible officer	Deadline	
Head of HR & I Service Centre	Head of HR & Finance Service Centre	30 th June 2019	
		*	

Pensions Administration 2018/19

4. Priority 3 Issues

Action Proposed by Management	Findings
We will setup a programme to review all team procedures over the next 12 months.	1) We will setup a programme to review all Procedures available to staff should be reviewed on a regular basis. team procedures over the next 12 A number of procedures were listed on the Pensions team SharePoint page. A number of these had not been reviewed and updated recently, or were not dated and it was not possible to confirm when they were last updated. There were at least 16 different areas with procedure guides that had not been updated recently. Where procedures are not reviewed regularly, there is a risk that the processes are out of date and not detected.
	date practices.

TERMS OF REFERENCE

Pensions Administration

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Croydon Council is the administering authority for its employees in relation to the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS). As such, the Borough Council is responsible for ensuring that the Pension Fund is appropriately invested and that monies paid out of the Fund are paid in accordance with the LGPS Regulations.
- 1.2 The LGPS is a statutory, funded pension scheme for local government employees as well as non-teaching staff of further and higher education establishments and non-local government employees who are entitled to join the Scheme under an admission agreement. The Pensions Team of the Customer and Corporate Services Division are responsible and use the Altair software solution.
- 1.3 This audit is part of the annual review of Key Financial Systems upon which the Council's external auditor may place reliance. It is being undertaken as part of the agreed Internal Audit Plan for 2018/19.

2. OBJECTIVES AND METHOD

- 2.1 The overall audit objective is to provide an objective independent opinion on the adequacy and effectiveness of controls / processes.
- 2.2 The audit will for each controls / process being considered:
 - Walkthrough the processes to consider the key controls;
 - · Conduct sample testing of the identified key controls, and
 - Report on these accordingly.

3. SCOPE

3.1 This audit examined the Council's arrangements in relation to Pensions Administration, and included the following areas (and resultant issues):

	ls	sues Identifi	ed
Control Areas/Risks	Priority 1 (High)	Priority 2 (Medium)	Priority 3 (Low)
Organisational, Management and Legislative requirements	0	0	1
Employer and Employee Contributions	0	1	0
Transfer Values and Inter-Fund Arrangements	0	0	0
Refunds	0	0	0
Benefits, Retirement Pensions and Deaths	1	0	0
Pensions Data	0	1	0
Performance Monitoring	0	1	0
TOTAL	1	3	1

DEFINITIONS FOR AUDIT OPINIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In order to assist management in using our reports:

We categorise our **audit assurance opinion** according to our overall assessment of the risk management system, effectiveness of the controls in place and the level of compliance with these controls and the action being taken to remedy significant findings or weaknesses.

	Full Assurance	There is a sound system of control designed to achieve the system objectives and the controls are consistently applied.
0	Substantial Assurance	While there is basically a sound system of control to achieve the system objectives, there are weaknesses in the design or level of non-compliance which may put this achievement at risk.
	Limited Assurance	There are significant weaknesses in key areas of system controls and/or non-compliance that puts achieving the system objectives at risk.
	No Assurance	Controls are non-existent or weak and/or there are high levels of non-compliance, leaving the system open to the high risk of error or abuse which could result in financial loss and/or reputational damage.

Priorities assigned to recommendations are based on the following criteria:

Priority 1 (High)	Fundamental control weaknesses that require the immediate attention of management to mitigate significant exposure to risk.
Priority 2 (Medium)	Control weakness that represent an exposure to risk and require timely action.
Priority 3 (Low)	Although control weaknesses are considered to be relatively minor and low risk, action to address still provides an opportunity for improvement. May also apply to areas considered to be of best practice.



STATEMENT OF RESPONSIBILITY

We take responsibility to the London Borough of Croydon for this report which is prepared on the basis of the limitations set out below.

The responsibility for designing and maintaining a sound system of internal control and the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities rests with management, with internal audit providing a service to management to enable them to achieve this objective. Specifically, we assess the adequacy and effectiveness of the system of internal control arrangements implemented by management and perform sample testing on those controls in the period under review with a view to providing an opinion on the extent to which risks in this area are managed.

We plan our work in order to ensure that we have a reasonable expectation of detecting significant control weaknesses. However, our procedures alone should not be relied upon to identify all strengths and weaknesses in internal controls, nor relied upon to identify any circumstances of fraud or irregularity. Even sound systems of internal control can only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance and may not be proof against collusive fraud.

The matters raised in this report are only those which came to our attention during the course of our work and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist or all improvements that might be made. Recommendations for improvements should be assessed by you for their full impact before they are implemented. The performance of our work is not and should not be taken as a substitute for management's responsibilities for the application of sound management practices.

This report is confidential and must not be disclosed to any third party or reproduced in whole or in part without our prior written consent. To the fullest extent permitted by law Mazars LLP accepts no responsibility and disclaims all liability to any third party who purports to use or rely for any reason whatsoever on the Report, its contents, conclusions, any extract, reinterpretation amendment and/or modification by any third party is entirely at their own risk.

Registered office: Tower Bridge House, St Katharine's Way, London E1W 1DD, United Kingdom. Registered in England and Wales No 0C308299.